



Egyptian Mathematical Society
Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society

www.etms-eg.org
www.elsevier.com/locate/joems



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Certain subclasses of uniformly convex functions of order α and type β with varying arguments

N. Magesh *

P.G. and Research Department of Mathematics, Govt. Arts College for Men, Krishnagiri 635 001, India

Received 31 December 2012; accepted 16 February 2013

Available online 31 March 2013

KEYWORDS

Univalent functions;
Convex functions;
Starlike functions;
Uniformly convex functions;
Uniformly starlike functions

Abstract In this paper, we define a new subclass of k -uniformly convex functions order α type β with varying argument of coefficients and obtain coefficient estimates. Further we investigate extreme points, growth and distortion bounds, radii of starlikeness and convexity and modified Hadamard products.

MSC: 30C45

© 2013 Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Egyptian Mathematical Society.
Open access under [CC BY-NC-ND license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Denoted by S the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (1.1)$$

that are analytic and univalent in the unit disc $\mathcal{U} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and by S^* and \mathcal{K} the subclasses of S that are respectively, starlike and convex. Goodman [1,2] introduced and defined the following subclasses of \mathcal{K} and S^* . A function $f(z)$ is uniformly convex (uniformly starlike) in \mathcal{U} if $f(z)$ is in \mathcal{K} (S^*) and has the property that for every circular arc γ contained in \mathcal{U} , with center ξ also in \mathcal{U} , the arc $f(\gamma)$ is convex (starlike) with respect to $f(\xi)$. The class of uniformly con-

vex functions denoted by UCV and the class of uniformly starlike functions by UST (for details see [1]). It is well known from [3,4] that

$$f \in UCV \iff \Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} \geq \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right|.$$

In [4], Rønning introduced a new class of starlike functions related to UCV and defined as

$$f \in S_p \iff \Re \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} \geq \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right|.$$

Note that $f(z) \in UCV \iff zf''(z) \in S_p$. Further Rønning generalized the class S_p by introducing a parameter α , $-1 \leq \alpha < 1$,

$$f \in S_p(\alpha) \iff \Re \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \alpha \right\} \geq \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right|.$$

In 1997, Bharati et al. [5] introduced the following classes of k -starlike functions of order α (k - $ST(\alpha)$) and k -uniformly convex functions of order α (k - $UCV(\alpha)$).

* Tel.: +91 9443632478.

E-mail address: nmagi_2000@yahoo.co.in.

Peer review under responsibility of Egyptian Mathematical Society.



Production and hosting by Elsevier

$$f \in k - ST(\alpha) \iff \Re \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \alpha \right\} \geq k \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right|,$$

$$k \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1,$$

and

$$f \in k - UCV(\alpha) \iff \Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \alpha \right\} \geq k \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right|,$$

$$k \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1.$$

It follows that $f \in k - UCV(\alpha) \iff zf' \in k - ST(\alpha)$.

Recently, Sim et al. [6] introduced the subclasses $k - UCV(\alpha, \beta)$ and $k - ST(\alpha, \beta)$ of the univalent function class S as follows (see El-Ashwah et al. [7]):

$$f \in k - UCV(\alpha, \beta) \iff \Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \alpha \right\} \geq k \left| 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \beta \right|,$$

where $0 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq 1$ and $k(1 - \beta) < (1 - \alpha)$ and

$$f \in k - ST(\alpha, \beta) \iff \Re \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \alpha \right\} \geq k \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \beta \right|,$$

where $0 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq 1$ and $k(1 - \beta) < (1 - \alpha)$. Notice that $f \in k - UCV(\alpha, \beta) \iff zf' \in k - ST(\alpha, \beta)$.

Motivated by the above works, we define a unified subclass of univalent function class S as follows:

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{zf'(z) + (1 + 2\lambda)z^2f''(z) + \lambda z^3f'''(z)}{zf'(z) + \lambda z^2f''(z)} - \alpha \right\} > k \left| \frac{zf'(z) + (1 + 2\lambda)z^2f''(z) + \lambda z^3f'''(z)}{zf'(z) + \lambda z^2f''(z)} - \beta \right|, \quad z \in \mathcal{U}. \quad (1.2)$$

We also let $\mathcal{M}_\eta(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, k) = \mathcal{U}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, k) \cap V_\eta$, where V_η the class of functions $f \in S$ of the form (1.1) for which $\arg(a_n) = \pi + (n - 1)\eta$, $n \geq 2$. For $\eta = 0$, we obtain the familiar class T of functions with negative coefficients [8]. Moreover, we define $\mathcal{V} := \bigcup_{\eta \in \mathbb{R}} V_\eta$. The class \mathcal{V} was introduced by Slivernan [9] (see also [10]). It is called the class of functions with varying argument of coefficients. We note that, by specializing the parameters λ , α , and k we obtain the following subclasses studied by various authors.

- (1) $\mathcal{M}_\eta(0, \alpha, 1, 0) = \mathcal{VK}(\alpha)$ [9].
- (2) $\mathcal{M}_0(0, \alpha, 1, 0) = \mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ [8].
- (3) $\mathcal{M}_0(0, \alpha, 1, k) = k - UCV(\alpha)$ [5, 11].
- (4) $\mathcal{U}(0, \alpha, 1, 1) = UCV(\alpha)$ [4].
- (5) $\mathcal{U}(0, 1, 1, k) = k - UCV$ [12].
- (6) $\mathcal{U}(0, \alpha, \beta, k) = k - UCV(\alpha, \beta)$ [6].
- (7) $\mathcal{M}_\eta(0, \alpha, \beta, k) = k - UCV(\alpha, \beta)$ [7].
- (8) $\mathcal{M}_0(\lambda, \alpha, 1, 0) = \mathcal{U}(\lambda, \alpha)$ [13].
- (9) $\mathcal{M}_0(\lambda, \alpha, 1, k) = \mathcal{U}(\lambda, \alpha, k)$ [14].

The main object of this paper is to obtain a sufficient coefficient condition for functions f of the form (1.1) to be in the class $\mathcal{M}_\eta(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, k)$ and we show that it is also a necessary condition for functions belong to this class. Further we investigate extreme points, growth and distortion bounds, radii of starlikeness and convexity and modified Hadamard products for the class $\mathcal{M}_\eta(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, k)$.

2. Coefficient estimates

In this section we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for functions $f(z)$ in the classes $\mathcal{M}_\eta(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, k)$.

Theorem 2.1. A function $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) is in $\mathcal{U}(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, k)$ if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [\varphi_n(1 + k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n] |a_n| \leq 1 - \alpha - k(1 - \beta), \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$\varphi_n = n^2[1 + \lambda(n - 1)], \quad \psi_n = n[1 + \lambda(n - 1)], \quad (2.2)$$

and $-1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq 1$, $0 \leq \lambda < 1$, $k(1 - \beta) < 1 - \alpha$ and $z \in \mathcal{U}$.

Proof. It suffices to show that the inequality (1.2) holds true. Upon using the fact that

$$\Re(w) > k|w - \beta| + \alpha \quad \text{iff} \quad \Re((1 + ke^{i\theta})w - \beta ke^{i\theta}) > \alpha, \quad (2.3)$$

then the inequality (1.2) may be written as

$$\Re \left((1 + ke^{i\theta}) \frac{zf'(z) + (1 + 2\lambda)z^2f''(z) + \lambda z^3f'''(z)}{zf'(z) + \lambda z^2f''(z)} - \beta ke^{i\theta} \right) \geq \alpha.$$

That is,

$$\Re \left(\frac{A(z)}{B(z)} \right) > \alpha,$$

where

$$A(z) = (1 + ke^{i\theta})[zf'(z) + (1 + 2\lambda)z^2f''(z) + \lambda z^3f'''(z)] - \beta ke^{i\theta}[zf'(z) + \lambda z^2f''(z)],$$

and

$$B(z) = zf'(z) + \lambda z^2f''(z),$$

then we have

$$|A(z) + (1 - \alpha)B(z)| - |A(z) - (1 + \alpha)B(z)| \geq 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} |A(z) + (1 - \alpha)B(z)| &= |(1 - \beta)ke^{i\theta} + 2 - \alpha|z| \\ &\quad - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(\beta\psi_n - \varphi_n)ke^{i\theta} - (1 - \alpha)\psi_n - \varphi_n] a_n z^n| \\ &\geq (-(1 - \beta)k + 2 - \alpha)|z| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(\beta\psi_n - \varphi_n)k \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha)\psi_n + \varphi_n] |a_n| |z|^n, \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |A(z) - (1 + \alpha)B(z)| &= |(1 - \beta)ke^{i\theta} - \alpha|z| \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(\varphi_n - \beta\psi_n)ke^{i\theta} + \varphi_n - (1 + \alpha)\psi_n] a_n z^n| \\ &\leq ((1 - \beta)k + \alpha)|z| + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(\varphi_n - \beta\psi_n)k \\ &\quad - (1 + \alpha)\psi_n + \varphi_n] |a_n| |z|^n. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

From (2.5) and (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |A(z) + (1 - \alpha)B(z)| - |A(z) - (1 + \alpha)B(z)| \\ & \geq [2(1 - \alpha) - 2k(1 - \beta)]|z| - 2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(\varphi_n - \beta\psi_n)k + (\varphi_n \\ & \quad - \alpha\psi_n)]|a_n||z|^n \\ & = 2 \left[[(1 - \alpha) - k(1 - \beta)]|z| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [\varphi_n(1 + k) - \psi_n(k\beta + \alpha)]|a_n||z|^n \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The last expression is bounded below by 0 if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [\varphi_n(1 + k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n]|a_n| \leq 1 - \alpha - k(1 - \beta),$$

and hence the proof is complete. \square

In the following theorem, it is shown that the condition (2.1) is also necessary for functions $f \in \mathcal{V}_\eta(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, k)$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) and in \mathcal{V}_η , then $f \in \mathcal{V}_\eta(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, k)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [\varphi_n(1 + k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n]|a_n| \leq 1 - \alpha - k(1 - \beta), \quad (2.7)$$

where φ_n and ψ_n are given by (2.2).

Proof. In view of Theorem 2.1, we need only to show that $f(z) \in \mathcal{V}_\eta(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, k)$ satisfies the coefficient inequality (2.7). If $f(z) \in \mathcal{V}_\eta(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, k)$ then by definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Re \left(\frac{(1 - \alpha) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (\varphi_n - \alpha\psi_n)a_n z^{n-1}}{1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \psi_n a_n z^{n-1}} \right) \\ & \geq k \left| \frac{(1 - \beta) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (\varphi_n - \beta\psi_n)a_n z^{n-1}}{1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \psi_n a_n z^{n-1}} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Since f is a function of the form (1.1) with the argument property given in the class \mathcal{V}_η and setting $z = re^{i\eta}$ in the above inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(1 - \alpha) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (\varphi_n - \alpha\psi_n)|a_n|r^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \psi_n|a_n|r^{n-1}} \\ & \geq k \frac{(1 - \beta) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (\varphi_n - \beta\psi_n)|a_n|r^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \psi_n|a_n|r^{n-1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Letting $r \rightarrow 1$, (2.8) leads the desired inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [\varphi_n(1 + k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n]|a_n| \leq 1 - \alpha - k(1 - \beta), \\ & -1 \leq \alpha < 1, \quad k \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the function $f(z)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_{n,\eta}(z) &= z - \frac{[1 - \alpha - k(1 - \beta)]e^{i(1-n)\eta}}{[M_n(1 + k) - (k\beta + \alpha)F_n]} z^n, \\ & 0 \leq \eta \leq 2\pi, \quad n \geq 2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

where φ_2 and ψ_2 as written in (2.2), is extremal for the function. \square

Corollary 2.3. Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{V}_\eta(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, k)$. Then

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{1 - \alpha - k(1 - \beta)}{[\varphi_n(1 + k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n]}, \quad n \geq 2. \quad (2.10)$$

The equality in (2.10) is attained for the function $f(z)$ given by (2.9).

3. Growth and distortion theorems

In this section we obtain growth and distortion bounds for functions in the class $\mathcal{V}_\eta(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, k)$.

Theorem 3.1. Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{V}_\eta(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, k)$. Then for $|z| < r = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} & r - \frac{1 - \alpha - k(1 - \beta)}{[\varphi_2(1 + k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_2]} r^2 \leq |f(z)| \\ & \leq r + \frac{1 - \alpha - k(1 - \beta)}{[\varphi_2(1 + k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_2]} r^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

The result (3.1) is attained for the function $f(z)$ given by (2.9) for $z = \pm r$.

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & [\varphi_2(1 + k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_2] \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [\varphi_n(1 + k) - (k\beta \\ & \quad + \alpha)\psi_n]|a_n| \\ & \leq 1 - \alpha - k(1 - \beta), \end{aligned}$$

this last inequality follows from Theorem 2.2. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| & \geq |z| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n||z|^n \geq r - r^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| \\ & \geq r - \frac{1 - \alpha - k(1 - \beta)}{[\varphi_2(1 + k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_2]} r^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| & \leq |z| + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n||z|^n \leq r + r^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| \\ & \leq r + \frac{1 - \alpha - k(1 - \beta)}{[\varphi_2(1 + k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_2]} r^2. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.2. Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{V}_\eta(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, k)$. Then for $|z| < r = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 - \frac{2(1 - \alpha - k(1 - \beta))}{[\varphi_2(1 + k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_2]} r \leq |f'(z)| \\ & \leq 1 + \frac{2(1 - \alpha - k(1 - \beta))}{[\varphi_2(1 + k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_2]} r. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. We have

$$|f'(z)| \geq 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n||z|^{n-1} \geq 1 - r \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n|, \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$|f'(z)| \leq 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n||z|^{n-1} \leq 1 + r \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n|. \quad (3.4)$$

In view of Theorem 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{[\varphi_2(1+k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_2]}{2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n| \\ & \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [\varphi_n(1+k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n] |a_n| \\ & \leq 1 - \alpha - k(1 - \beta), \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

or, equivalently

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n| \leq \frac{2(1 - \alpha - k(1 - \beta))}{[\varphi_2(1+k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_2]}. \quad (3.6)$$

A substitution of (3.6) into (3.3) and (3.4) yields the inequality (3.2). This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.3. Let $f \in \mathcal{V}_\eta(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, k)$ with argument property as in the class \mathcal{V}_η . Define $f_j(z) = z$, and

$$\begin{aligned} f_{n,\eta}(z) &= z - \frac{[1 - \alpha - k(1 - \beta)]e^{i(1-n)\eta}}{[\varphi_n(1+k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n]} z^n, \\ 0 &\leq \eta \leq 2\pi, \quad n \geq 2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Then $f(z)$ is in the class $\mathcal{V}_\eta(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, k)$ if and only if it can be expressed in the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n f_{n,\eta}(z), \quad (3.8)$$

where $\mu_n \geq 0$ ($n \geq 1$) and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n = 1$.

Proof. Assume that

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \mu_1 f_1(z) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mu_n \left[z - \frac{[1 - \alpha - k(1 - \beta)]e^{i(1-n)\eta}}{[\varphi_n(1+k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n]} z^n \right] \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{[1 - \alpha - k(1 - \beta)]e^{i(1-n)\eta}}{[\varphi_n(1+k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n]} \mu_n z^n. \end{aligned}$$

Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left| \frac{[1 - \alpha - k(1 - \beta)]e^{i(1-n)\eta}}{[\varphi_n(1+k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n]} \mu_n \right| [\varphi_n(1+k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n] \\ &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mu_n [1 - \alpha - k(1 - \beta)] \leq (1 - \mu_1) [1 - \alpha - k(1 - \beta)] \\ &\leq 1 - \alpha - k(1 - \beta), \end{aligned}$$

so by Theorem 2.2, $f(z) \in \mathcal{V}_\eta(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, k)$. Conversely, assume that the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) belongs to the class $\mathcal{V}_\eta(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, k)$, then

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{1 - \alpha - k(1 - \beta)}{[\varphi_n(1+k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n]}, \quad n \geq 2.$$

Setting $\mu_n = \frac{[\varphi_n(1+k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n]}{1 - \alpha - k(1 - \beta)} |a_n|$, ($n \geq 2$) and $\mu_1 = 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mu_n$, $n \geq 2$. Then $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n f_{n,\eta}(z)$ and this completes the proof. \square

4. Radii of close-to-convexity, starlikeness and convexity

In this section, we obtain the radii of close-to-convexity, starlikeness and convexity for the class $\mathcal{V}_\eta(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, k)$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $f \in \mathcal{V}_\eta(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, k)$. Then $f(z)$ is close-to-convex of order σ ($0 \leq \sigma < 1$) in the disc $|z| < r_1$, where

$$r_1 := \inf \left[\frac{[(1 - \sigma)[\varphi_n(1+k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n]]^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}{n(1 - \alpha - k(1 - \beta))} \right], \quad n \geq 2. \quad (4.1)$$

The result is sharp, with extremal function $f(z)$ given by (2.9).

Proof. Given $f \in \mathcal{V}_\eta$, and f is close-to-convex of order σ , we have

$$|f'(z) - 1| < 1 - \sigma. \quad (4.2)$$

For the left hand side of (4.2) we have

$$|f'(z) - 1| \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n||z|^{n-1}.$$

The last expression is less than $1 - \sigma$ if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n}{1 - \sigma} |a_n||z|^{n-1} < 1.$$

Using the fact, that $f \in \mathcal{V}_\eta(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, k)$, if and only if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{[\varphi_n(1+k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n]}{(1 - \alpha - k(1 - \beta))} |a_n| \leq 1.$$

We can say (4.2) is true if

$$\frac{n}{1 - \sigma} |z|^{n-1} \leq \frac{[\varphi_n(1+k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n]}{(1 - \alpha - k(1 - \beta))}.$$

Or, equivalently,

$$|z|^{n-1} = \left[\frac{[(1 - \sigma)[\varphi_n(1+k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n]]^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}{n(1 - \alpha - k(1 - \beta))} \right],$$

which completes the proof. \square

Theorem 4.2. Let $f \in \mathcal{V}_\eta(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, k)$. Then

(i) f is starlike of order σ ($0 \leq \sigma < 1$) in the disc $|z| < r_2$; where

$$r_2 = \inf \left[\left(\frac{1 - \sigma}{n - \sigma} \right) \frac{[\varphi_n(1+k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n]^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}{(1 - \alpha - k(1 - \beta))} \right], \quad n \geq 2, \quad (4.3)$$

(ii) f is convex of order σ ($0 \leq \sigma < 1$) in the unit disc $|z| < r_3$, where

$$r_3 = \inf \left[\left(\frac{1 - \sigma}{n(n - \sigma)} \right) \frac{[\varphi_n(1+k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n]^{\frac{1}{n-1}}}{(1 - \alpha - k(1 - \beta))} \right], \quad n \geq 2. \quad (4.4)$$

Each of these results are sharp for the extremal function $f(z)$ given by (2.9).

Proof.

(i) Given $f \in \mathcal{V}_\eta$, and f is starlike of order σ , we have

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| < 1 - \sigma. \quad (4.5)$$

For the left hand side of (4.5) we have

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1)|a_n||z|^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n||z|^{n-1}}.$$

The last expression is less than $1 - \sigma$ if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n-\sigma}{1-\sigma} |a_n||z|^{n-1} < 1.$$

Using the fact, that $f \in \mathcal{WU}_\eta(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, k)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{[\varphi_n(1+k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n]}{(1-\alpha - k(1-\beta))} |a_n| \leq 1.$$

We can say (4.5) is true if

$$\frac{n-\sigma}{1-\sigma} |z|^{n-1} < \frac{[\varphi_n(1+k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n]}{(1-\alpha - k(1-\beta))}.$$

Or, equivalently,

$$|z|^{n-1} = \left[\left(\frac{1-\sigma}{n-\sigma} \right) \frac{[\varphi_n(1+k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n]}{(1-\alpha - k(1-\beta))} \right]$$

which yields the starlikeness of the family.

(ii) Using the fact that f is convex if and only if zf' is starlike, we can prove (ii), on lines similar to the proof of (i). \square

5. Results on modified hadamard product

Let the functions $f_j(z)$ ($j = 1, 2$) be defined by

$$f_j(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n,j} z^n, \quad a_{n,i} \geq 0; \quad i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (5.1)$$

then we define the modified Hadamard product of $f_1(z)$ and $f_2(z)$ by

$$(f_1 * f_2)(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n,1} a_{n,2} z^n. \quad (5.2)$$

Now, we prove the following.

Theorem 5.1. Let each of the functions $f_j(z)$ ($j = 1, 2$) defined by (5.1) be in the class $\mathcal{WU}_\eta(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, k)$. Then $(f_1 * f_2) \in \mathcal{WU}_\eta(\lambda, \delta_1, k)$, for

$$\delta_1 = \frac{[\varphi_n(1+k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n]^2 - [\varphi_n(1+k) - k\beta\psi_n](1-\alpha - k(1-\beta))^2}{[\varphi_n(1+k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n]^2 - \psi_n(1-\alpha - k(1-\beta))^2}. \quad (5.3)$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. We need to prove the largest δ_1 such that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{[\varphi_n(1+k) - (\delta_1 + k\beta)\psi_n]}{(1-\delta_1 - k(1-\beta))} a_{n,1} a_{n,2} \leq 1. \quad (5.4)$$

From Theorem 2.2, we have

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{[\varphi_n(1+k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n]}{1-\alpha - k(1-\beta)} a_{n,1} \leq 1,$$

and

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{[\varphi_n(1+k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n]}{1-\alpha - k(1-\beta)} a_{n,2} \leq 1,$$

by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{[\varphi_n(1+k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n]}{1-\alpha - k(1-\beta)} \sqrt{a_{n,1} a_{n,2}} \leq 1. \quad (5.5)$$

Thus it is sufficient to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{[\varphi_n(1+k) - (\delta_1 + k\beta)\psi_n]}{(1-\delta_1 - k(1-\beta))} a_{n,1} a_{n,2} \\ & \leq \frac{[\varphi_n(1+k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n]}{1-\alpha - k(1-\beta)} \sqrt{a_{n,1} a_{n,2}}, \quad n \geq 2, \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

that is, for $n \geq 2$

$$\sqrt{a_{n,1} a_{n,2}} \leq \frac{[\varphi_n(1+k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n](1-\delta_1 - k(1-\beta))}{[\varphi_n(1+k) - (\delta_1 + k\beta)\psi_n](1-\alpha - k(1-\beta))}, \quad n \geq 2. \quad (5.7)$$

Note that

$$\sqrt{a_{n,1} a_{n,2}} \leq \frac{(1-\alpha - k(1-\beta))}{[\varphi_n(1+k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n]}, \quad n \geq 2. \quad (5.8)$$

Consequently, we need only to prove that

$$\frac{(1-\alpha - k(1-\beta))}{[\varphi_n(1+k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n]} \leq \frac{[\varphi_n(1+k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n](1-\delta_1 - k(1-\beta))}{[\varphi_n(1+k) - (\delta_1 + k\beta)\psi_n](1-\alpha - k(1-\beta))}, \quad (5.9)$$

or equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1 & \leq \frac{[\varphi_n(1+k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n]^2 - [\varphi_n(1+k) - k\beta\psi_n](1-\alpha - k(1-\beta))^2}{[\varphi_n(1+k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n]^2 - \psi_n(1-\alpha - k(1-\beta))^2} \\ & = \Delta(n). \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

Since $\Delta(n)$ is an increasing function of n ($n \geq 2$), letting $n = 2$ in (5.10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1 & \leq \Delta(2) \\ & = \frac{[\varphi_2(1+k) - (\alpha + k\beta)\psi_2]^2 - [\varphi_2(1+k) - k\beta\psi_2](1-\alpha - k(1-\beta))^2}{[\varphi_2(1+k) - (\alpha + k\beta)\psi_2]^2 - \psi_2(1-\alpha - k(1-\beta))^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

which proves the main assertion of Theorem 5.1. The result is sharp for the functions defined by (2.9). \square

Theorem 5.2. Let the function $f_j(z)$ ($j = 1, 2$) defined by (5.1) be in the class $\mathcal{WU}_\eta(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, k)$. If the sequence $\{\varphi_n(1+k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n\}$ is non-decreasing. Then the function

$$h(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (a_{n,1}^2 + a_{n,2}^2) z^n \quad (5.12)$$

belongs to the class $\mathcal{WU}_\eta(\lambda, \delta_2, k)$ where

$$\delta_2 = \frac{[\varphi_n(1+k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n]^2 - 2[\varphi_n(1+k) - k\beta\psi_n](1 - \alpha - k(1 - \beta))^2}{[\varphi_n(1+k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n]^2 - 2\psi_n(1 - \alpha - k(1 - \beta))^2}.$$

Proof. By virtue of Theorem 2.2, we have for $f_j(z)$ ($j = 1, 2$) $\in \mathcal{VU}_\eta(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, k)$ we have

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{[\varphi_n(1+k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n]^2}{1 - \alpha - k(1 - \beta)} \right] a_{n,1}^2 \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{[\varphi_n(1+k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n]}{1 - \alpha - k(1 - \beta)} a_{n,1} \right]^2 \leq 1, \quad (5.13)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{[\varphi_n(1+k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n]^2}{1 - \alpha - k(1 - \beta)} \right] a_{n,2}^2 \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{[\varphi_n(1+k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n]}{1 - \alpha - k(1 - \beta)} a_{n,2} \right]^2 \leq 1. \quad (5.14)$$

It follows from (5.13) and (5.14) that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{[\varphi_n(1+k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n]^2}{1 - \alpha - k(1 - \beta)} \right] (a_{n,1}^2 + a_{n,2}^2) \leq 1. \quad (5.15)$$

Therefore we need to find the largest δ_2 , such that

$$\frac{[\varphi_n(1+k) - (\delta_2 + k\beta)\psi_n]}{(1 - \delta_2 - k(1 - \beta))} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{[\varphi_n(1+k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n]}{1 - \alpha - k(1 - \beta)} \right]^2, \quad n \geq 2$$

that is

$$\delta_2 \leq \frac{[\varphi_n(1+k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n]^2 - 2[\varphi_n(1+k) - k\beta\psi_n](1 - \alpha - k(1 - \beta))^2}{[\varphi_n(1+k) - (k\beta + \alpha)\psi_n]^2 - 2\psi_n(1 - \alpha - k(1 - \beta))^2} = \Psi(n).$$

Since $\Psi(n)$ is an increasing function of n , ($n \geq 2$), we readily have

$$\delta_2 \leq \Psi(2) = \frac{[\varphi_2(1+k) - (\alpha + k\beta)\psi_2]^2 - 2[\varphi_2(1+k) - k\psi_2](1 - \alpha - k(1 - \beta))^2}{[\varphi_2(1+k) - (\alpha + k\beta)\psi_2]^2 - 2\psi_2(1 - \alpha - k(1 - \beta))^2},$$

which completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank the referee for his valuable comments.

References

- [1] A.W. Goodman, On uniformly convex functions, *Ann. Polon. Math.* 56 (1) (1991) 87–92.
- [2] A.W. Goodman, On uniformly starlike functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 155 (2) (1991) 364–370.
- [3] W.C. Ma, D. Minda, Uniformly convex functions, *Ann. Polon. Math.* 57 (2) (1992) 165–175.
- [4] F. Rønning, Uniformly convex functions and a corresponding class of starlike functions, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 118 (1) (1993) 189–196.
- [5] R. Bharati, R. Parvatham, A. Swaminathan, On subclasses of uniformly convex functions and corresponding class of starlike functions, *Tamkang J. Math.* 28 (1) (1997) 17–32.
- [6] Y.J. Sim et al, Some classes of analytic functions associated with conic regions, *Taiwanese J. Math.* 16 (1) (2012) 387–408.
- [7] R.M. El-Ashwah, M.K. Aouf, A.A.M. Hassan, A.H. Hassan, Certain new classes of analytic functions with varying arguments, *J. Compd. Anal.* 2013 (2013) 1–5.
- [8] H. Silverman, Univalent functions with negative coefficients, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 51 (1975) 109–116.
- [9] H. Silverman, Univalent functions with varying arguments, *Houston J. Math.* 7 (2) (1981) 283–287.
- [10] J. Dziok, H.M. Srivastava, A unified class of analytic functions with varying argument of coefficients, *Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math.* 2 (3) (2009) 302–324.
- [11] S. Shams, S.R. Kulkarni, J.M. Jahangiri, Classes of uniformly starlike and convex functions, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* (53-56) (2004) 2959–2961.
- [12] S. Kanas, A. Wisniowska, Conic regions and k -uniform convexity, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 105 (1-2) (1999) 327–336.
- [13] M. Kamali, S. Akbulut, On a subclass of certain convex functions with negative coefficients, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 145 (2.3) (2003) 341–350.
- [14] S. Sivasubramanian, *Studies on Certain Univalent and Multivalent Analytic Functions*, Thesis, 2005.